

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,007	12/21/2001	Rudi Grutzmann	LE A 33 846	5920
75	90 03/25/2003			
Jeffrey M Greenman Bayer Corporation 400 Morgan Lane			EXAMINER	
			HUI, SAN MING R	
West Haven, CT 06516			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	7
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/019,007	GRUTZMANN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		San-ming Hui	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm nt(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Application/Control Number: 10/019,007

1 Art Unit: 1617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method of prophylaxis or treatment of cardiovascular disease employing MTP inhibitor A1 and a HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

Group II, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method of prophylaxis or treatment of cardiovascular disease employing MTP inhibitor A2 and a HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

Group III, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method of prophylaxis or treatment of cardiovascular disease employing MTP inhibitor A3 and a HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

Group IV, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method of prophylaxis or treatment of cardiovascular disease employing MTP inhibitor A4 and a HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

Group V, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method of prophylaxis or treatment of cardiovascular disease employing MTP inhibitor A5 and A6 and a HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each group employ a structurally diversed MTP inhibitors. They do not possess a common core structures and therefore no special technical features are present. Unity of invention is therefore lacking and the restriction is appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,007

Art Unit: 1617

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec. 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming. Hui whose telephone number is (703) 305-1002. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,007

Art Unit: 1617

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

San-ming Hui March 21, 2003

> SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

3/23/03